



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,382	12/18/2001	Akseli Anttila	04770.00030	6410
22907	7590	05/06/2004	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			NGUYEN, KIM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/017,382

Applicant(s)

ANTTILA ET AL.

Examiner

Kim Nguyen

Art Unit

3713

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 and 46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-20 and 46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2,3 and 9-11 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/2002.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-20 and 46, filed on February 11, 2004 is acknowledged. According to the response to the restriction requirement, the non-elected claims 21-45 have been canceled, and claims 1-20 and 46 are now pending in the application.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 3-8, 11, and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) In claim 1, line 11; claim 46, lines 13 and 15, the claimed limitation "player input" should be corrected to "a player input".
 - b) In claim 1, line 11, the claimed limitation "a one of" should be corrected to "one of".
 - c) In claim 3, line 2; claim 4, line 2; and claim 46, lines 13-14 and 15-16, the claimed limitation "selects response option" should be corrected to "selects said response option".
 - d) In claim 3, line 2; and claim 4, line 2, the claimed limitation "player input" should be corrected to "said player input".
 - e) In claim 5, line 2; claim 6, line 2; claim 7, line 2; and claim 8, line 1, the claimed limitation "response information" should be corrected to "said response information".
 - f) In claim 11, line 2, the claimed limitation "game server" should be corrected to "task server".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 7-8 and 19-20, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, line 2; claim 8, line 2; claim 19, lines 1 and 3; and claim 20, line 2; the claimed limitation "and/or" is ambiguous. It is not clear if the expression "and/or" should be read as "and" or "or".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 4-8, 12-20 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al (US 2002/0004424) in view of Lasko et al (US 2003/0052456).

- a. As per claim 1, 4-5, 7-8, and 13, Nelson discloses a mobile terminal that is capable of receiving a task (paragraph 0062); providing two response options to a first player including sending a response to a task receiver; receiving a player input selecting an option; and performing the selected response option (paragraphs 0063-0064). Further, since Nelson discloses

a wireless PDA (paragraphs 0002 and 0037) that is well known to include a processor and a transceiver for sending, receiving, and processing data, Nelson obviously discloses the processor and transceiver. Nelson does not disclose forwarding the task to a second mobile terminal. However, Nelson discloses including a task passing function (paragraphs 0063-0064). Further, Lasko suggests passing a task to a second player (paragraph 0042). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to pass the task of Nelson to a second player as suggested by Lasko in order to facilitate interaction play between the players.

- b. As per claim 6, providing a task in a form of physical task or a proof of the completed physical task as preferred by a designer's preference requires only routine skill in the art.
- c. As per claim 12, Nelson discloses performing steps ii-iv with a predetermined amount of time (paragraph 0077).
- d. As per claim 16, Lasko discloses playing the game in a team (paragraph 0024).
- e. As per claim 14-15, 18-20, and 46, refer to discussion in claims 1, 12, 5, and 7-8 above.
- f. As per claim 17, Nelson discloses registering the player with the game server (paragraph 0047).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-3 and 9-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior arts of record do not disclose a mobile terminal which includes a processor and a transceiver and performs the steps recited in claim 1 and in combination with the limitations cited in claim 2 or claim 3; the mobile terminal receives a player input selecting one of the two response options and displays an error message when the player attempts to perform a non-selected response option; the player input selecting one of the two response options comprises an identity of a second player.

Cited References

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Morriss (US 2002/0174248), *Moodie et al* (US 6,688,982), *Newnam et al* (US 2002/0133562) disclose wireless communications system for a trivia game.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg, can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3713

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kim Nguyen', with a horizontal line underneath.

Kim Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3713

kn

Date: April 30, 2004